

October 30, 2008

Gauging The Impact Of Unrealized Losses On Insurers' Financial Strength

Primary Credit Analyst:

Steven Ader, New York (1) 212-438-1447; steven_ader@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Credit Analysts:

Kevin Ahern, New York (1) 212-438-7160; kevin_ahern@standardandpoors.com

Rob Jones, London (44) 20-7176-7041; rob_jones@standardandpoors.com

Ron Joas, New York (1) 212-438-3131; ron_joas@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Outlining The Review Process

Gauging The Impact Of Unrealized Losses On Insurers' Financial Strength

Because of the current state of the financial markets, many insurance companies have reported—or are expected to report—material investment losses on their financial statements. In addition to the size of the losses, whether the company classifies them as realized, unrealized, or a combination of both can influence the degree to which they affect the insurer's reported financial results and, ultimately, our assessment of the company's creditworthiness.

The financial reporting process requires that insurers assess their investment portfolio through an other-than-temporary-impairments (OTTI) internal process that determines—on a security-by-security basis—when the fair value of the investment is not likely to recover fully before the expected sale or maturity. When a company recognizes an impairment loss, it accounts for the investment by taking a realized loss, reducing the book value to the fair value on the date of the reported financials.

Realized losses from asset sales constitute a true economic loss, and in our view, the recognition of an OTTI strongly signals the likelihood of economic losses. The presence of either could result in negative rating actions, depending on the size of these losses or OTTI relative to earnings, capital, and our expectations. Therefore, we are clarifying how we apply our criteria (see "Risk-Based Insurance Capital Model," published on Sept. 11, 2008, on RatingsDirect) in assessing insurance companies' OTTI process and unrealized investment losses and their impact on our rating analysis.

We believe that in the fixed-maturity portfolio, the potential for unrealized losses to evolve into economic losses is less clear. If in our opinion the unrealized loss on a fixed-maturity securities portfolio is likely to be temporary, the insurance company is willing to hold these securities to full recovery, and in our view it has sufficient liquidity to do so, the reported unrealized loss might not reflect economic reality. As a result, our analysis might either heavily discount or eliminate it. However, to the extent we believe that unrealized losses are representative of an economic loss, we usually incorporate them in our rating analysis, and this could affect ratings or outlooks on certain companies.

Outlining The Review Process

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services will usually start its review by gaining an understanding of a company's OTTI process. We assess its degree of conservatism and the extent to which we believe we can rely on its OTTI process in appropriately identifying economic impairments. A company's liquidity is important in assessing OTTI and unrealized losses because it speaks to the company's ability to hold securities to recovery of economic value. The shorter the duration of a company's liabilities, the higher the hurdle for assuming it has the ability to hold the securities rather than potentially having to sell them at the market value arrived at through the OTTI process and realizing the loss. In addition, for some asset classes—such as residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)—we usually assume economic losses are realized and incorporate them into our assessment of capital.

These are some of the factors we usually review when we assess a company's investment valuation and OTTI process:

- The company's policy for identifying securities for which it performs a more detailed analysis. For example, it

might flag securities based on the extent or time period of the unrealized loss.

- The company's intent and ability to retain its investment as well as management's anticipated market-value recovery time.
- The company's strategy in holding the so-called underwater securities (those that would be a loss if sold immediately) and how the company mitigates the risk of future potential OTTI.
- When there isn't a sufficient volume of observable market prices, the company's fair-value assessment processes and depth of expertise of personnel (internal or external) in their application.
- Our assessment of recent changes in counterparty credit ratings on the underlying security portfolio relative to the company's OTTI conclusions as well as our opinion on the extent of decline in value solely attributable to market illiquidity and interest rate movements.
- A comparison of the company's impairment charges to those of other companies holding similar securities as well as their policies for assessing impairments.
- Our assessment of the position of the company's auditors (and their challenges, if any) regarding the company's OTTI assessments on key decision points. These include the probability the company will collect, intent and ability to hold the securities, anticipated recovery period, and the impact of the severity and duration of unrealized losses.

In addition to assessing the potential economic impact of unrealized losses, our analysis considers the accounting basis on which the regulators measure a company's capitalization. Many U.S.-domiciled operating companies calculate capital adequacy from statutory financials, which, absent OTTI impairments, exclude unrealized gains and losses on fixed-maturity securities. Subject to our review of a company's OTTI analyses and processes for assessing impairments, we usually exclude unrealized fixed-maturity losses in calculating capital adequacy. However, our qualitative assessment of capital typically incorporates our assessment of OTTI practices and potential economic losses emerging from the unrealized loss position.

For Bermudan and other non-U.S. companies for which the regulatory statements incorporate market valuation on fixed maturities, our capital calculations typically reflect these unrealized losses. We do this because even if the losses are not economic, they likely will be incorporated in the regulator's view of capital, thus placing pressure on the capital the company is statutorily required to hold. However, to the extent we believe the reported unrealized losses could be temporary and regulatory capital might not be materially affected, our qualitative analysis may incorporate our assessment of the OTTI process. As a result, Standard & Poor's assessment of both U.S. and non-U.S. insurance companies' capital adequacy usually incorporates expected economic losses arising from unrealized losses, notwithstanding the accounting presentation.

Copyright © 2008 Standard & Poor's, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P). S&P and/or its third party licensors have exclusive proprietary rights in the data or information provided herein. This data/information may only be used internally for business purposes and shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. Dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this data/information in any form is strictly prohibited except with the prior written permission of S&P. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error by S&P, its affiliates or its third party licensors, S&P, its affiliates and its third party licensors do not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or availability of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. S&P GIVES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE. In no event shall S&P, its affiliates and its third party licensors be liable for any direct, indirect, special or consequential damages in connection with subscriber's or others use of the data/information contained herein. Access to the data or information contained herein is subject to termination in the event any agreement with a third-party of information or software is terminated.

Analytic services provided by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (Ratings Services) are the result of separate activities designed to preserve the independence and objectivity of ratings opinions. The credit ratings and observations contained herein are solely statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or make any other investment decisions. Accordingly, any user of the information contained herein should not rely on any credit rating or other opinion contained herein in making any investment decision. Ratings are based on information received by Ratings Services. Other divisions of Standard & Poor's may have information that is not available to Ratings Services. Standard & Poor's has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of non-public information received during the ratings process.

Ratings Services receives compensation for its ratings. Such compensation is normally paid either by the issuers of such securities or third parties participating in marketing the securities. While Standard & Poor's reserves the right to disseminate the rating, it receives no payment for doing so, except for subscriptions to its publications. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

Any Passwords/user IDs issued by S&P to users are single user-dedicated and may ONLY be used by the individual to whom they have been assigned. No sharing of passwords/user IDs and no simultaneous access via the same password/user ID is permitted. To reprint, translate, or use the data or information other than as provided herein, contact Client Services, 55 Water Street, New York, NY 10041; (1)212.438.9823 or by e-mail to: research_request@standardandpoors.com.